

Individual Report for COSC125-01 Computational Structures - Nitin Vaidya - Standard In-Person Survey

Project Title: Spring 2023 Course Evaluations

Course Audience: **42** Responses Received: **10** Response Ratio: **23.81**% Faculty Audience: **42**Responses Received: **10**Response Ratio: **23.81**%

Report Comments

Results are generated for items that received at least one response. Items are not displayed if no response was received.


Prepared by: Office of Assessment and Decision Support


Creation Date: Saturday, May 13, 2023





ORIGINAL STANDARD SURVEY


STUDENTS












Additional comments on your preparation for or involvement in this course. (Note: Your comments about this class will be reviewed only by the instructor.)

In this report, results are generated for items that received at least one response. Items are not displayed if no response was received.

ABOUT THE COURSE


Average Scores

Scale: High=5; 4; 3; 2; Low=1; Not Applicable



Competency	Average	Standard Deviation
To what degree were the stated objectives of the course met?	4.50	+/-0.71
How effective were the readings, research, and other requirements in helping you meet these objectives?	4.22	+/-0.97
Did the exams or other graded material fairly represent the content and skills taught in the course?	4.30	+/-1.06
Do you believe that the exams and other work were graded fairly?	4.40	+/-0.97
To what degree do specialized forms of study (labs, language drills, field trips, e.g.) contribute to the value of the course?	N/A	N/A
How much have you learned in the course? (Your assessment of the quality and extent of that learning).	4.20	+/-0.92

Frequency Distribution



Please explain your ratings or comment further on any aspect of the course. (Note: Your comments about this class will be reviewed only by the instructor.)

Comments

Material was generally interesting and exams were fair.

Course was informative


The pseudo code form that was used to explain problems was very helpful, but it was also hard to have concrete flows to follow as a reference, since the example code would be constantly modified. Hand drawn flow chart/examples from the instructor would have been superior the the work flows found in the book and on secondary slides.

Tests were unnecessarily difficult, far more so than homework was. Homework can also be graded fairly harshly. Additionally the fact that our professor switched after one day was really quite bizarre as many of us were expecting a much different experience than the one we got.

ABOUT THE INSTRUCTOR


Average Scores

Scale: High=5; 4; 3; 2; Low=1; Not Applicable




Competency	Average	Standard Deviation
Does the instructor seem consistently well prepared for class?	4.80	+/-0.42
Is the quality of classroom presentation stimulating (consider the effectiveness of discussions, demonstrations, and lectures)?	3.80	+/-0.92
How available, willing and helpful is the instructor in advising and assisting students outside of class?	4.50	+/-0.53
Does the instructor establish high standards, challenge you, and encourage you to do your best work?	4.40	+/-0.70
What is your overall evaluation of the instructor?	4.40	+/-0.70


Frequency Distribution



2. Is the quality of classroom presentation stimulating (consider the effectiveness of discussions, demonstrations, and lectures)?




3. How available, willing and helpful is the instructor in advising and assisting students outside of class?



4. Does the instructor establish high standards, challenge you, and encourage you to do your best work?

1 Low (0) 0.00%



5. What is your overall evaluation of the instructor?						
1 Low (0) 0.00% 2 (0) 0.00% 3 (1) 10.00% 4 (4) 5 High (5) [Total (10)]	40.00% 50.00% 50%	100%				
Statistics		Value				
Response Count		10				
Mean		4.40				
Median		4.50				
Mode		5				
Standard Deviation		0.70				
Standard Error (base on SD)		0.22				

Comments on your evaluation of the instructor. (Note: Your comments about this class will be reviewed only by the instructor.)

Comments


He was very knowledgable on the content and usually taught the topics clearly in lecture. He would go over key ideas a couple of times which was helpful if you missed it the first time.

instructor was always well prepared for classes but lectures were sometimes boring

Professor Vaidya is extremely caring. He is always willing to answer questions and go over concepts multiple times until his students understand. Sometimes his lectures were a little hard to follow because there seemed to be a lack of flow at times however he was still a great professor and I learned a lot during this course

CUSTOMIZED QUESTIONS

Course Activities and Learning Enviroment



Technology Use

Connections Beyond the Classroom

General Feedback

Online Courses

The technologies used in this course helped me meet the course learning objectives.		2. The instructions for using the technologies in this course were helpful.					
1 Strongly Disagree (0) - 2 Disagree (0) - 3 Agree (0) - 4 Strongly Agree (0) -	0.00% 0.00%			1 Strongly Disagree (0) - 2 Disagree (0) - 3 Agree (0) - 4 Strongly Agree (0) - [Total (0)] -	0.00%		
[Total (0)] ¹	50	1%	00%	[lotal (0)] - ()	50%	100%
Statistics			Value	Statistics			Value
Response Count			0	Response Count			0
Mean			NRP	Mean			NRP
Median			NRP	Median			NRP
Mode			NRP	Mode			NRP
Standard Deviation			NRP	Standard Deviation			NRP
Standard Error (base on SD))		NRP	Standard Error (base on SI	D)		NRP